IN THE ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH AT NEW DELHI 03.

O.A. No. 259 of 2010

Lt. Col. (Retd.) Shakti Lal

.....Petitioner

Versus

Central Organisation ECHS & Anr.

.....Respondents

For petitioner:

Mr. S.M. Hooda, Advocate, For respondents: Mr. Ankur Chhibber, Advocate

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. MATHUR, CHAIRPERSON. HON'BLE LT. GEN. S.S.DHILLON, MEMBER.

> ORDER 02.01.2012

The petitioner's appointment was for a period of two years on contract basis. The appointment letter dated 08.12.2006 clearly states that appointment shall be given on the basis of terms and conditions laid down in Appendix A & B. Appendix A clearly states that tenure of appointment shall be for the period of two years.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has invited our attention to the letter of 29.03.2011 issued by the Western Command which also clearly mentioned that the tenure of OIC ECHS Polyclinic is limited for a period of two years only i.e. 1 + 1 in all ECHS Polyclinics of NCR. The period of two years has already expired and petitioner cannot be allowed to continue beyond the period of two years. Hence, nothing survives in the present petition. Same is dismissed. No order as to costs.

> A.K. MATHUR (Chairperson)

> S.S. DHILLON (Member)

New Delhi January 02, 2012mk